

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/921,982		8/06/2001	Osamu Okuda	2001_0935	9185		
513	7590	07/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WENDERO	TH, LIN	D & PONACK, L	TRINH, MINH N				
2033 K STR SUITE 800	EET N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20006-1021	3729				

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					A.					
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	y					
		09/921,98	32	OKUDA ET AL.	/					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>					
		Minh Trir	ıh	3729						
Dania d f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
	or Reply	OFT T	0 EVDIDE • MONTH!	0) 50014						
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no every within the state will apply and wing the apply and wing the apple.	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma	lay 2004.								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is n	on-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Qu	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.									
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.									
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.									
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	equirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.								
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
.—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
	⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,,	···· •• •···· • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(-) - (-)						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have bee	n received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			on No. 09/158,536	i .					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		• •	<u> </u>						
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule	∍ 17.2(a)).		_					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certif	ied copies not receive	d.						
Attachmer										
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal Pa		-152)					
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/921,982 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I and further elected species 1A (claims 1-2) in the reply filed on 5/24/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Thus claims 3-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 5/24/04.

Priority

2. Since the related application has already matured into a patent, therefore after "September 22, 1998" (specification page 1, lines 3) insert of:--, now Patent No. 6,298,547 issued October 9, 2001--.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: "Apparatus for holding and mounting component".

Claim Objections

Application/Control Number: 09/921,982 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

4. "A apparatus" (claim 2, line 1) should be changed to: -- The apparatus – to read on the dependent claim formats. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA, see figures 14-16, and the discussion under the "background of the invention" pages1-5.

APA as described above discloses an apparatus for holding a component which includes a shaft with a nozzle for holding the component set at one end part thereof and moved in an axial direction of the shaft comprising:

a suction device 103 which is connected at the other end part of the shaft to an air passage formed in the shaft and communicating with the nozzle and which sucks an

Art Unit: 3729

interior of the passage, thereby letting the nozzle suck the component via the air passage and hold the component (see Figs. 14-16);

a first blow device 106 which is connected at the other end part the interior of the shaft the releasing passage the air passage and which returns the interior of the air passage to a state an atmospheric pressure, thereby releasing the suction of the component to the nozzle (see Figs 14 and 16, and the discussed at page 2, 2nd paragraphs).

APA is in silent about the use of a second blow device which is connected in the vicinity of the one end part of the shaft to the air passage and which returns the interior of the air passage to the atmospheric pressure state, thereby releasing the suction of the component to the nozzle. However, regarding the use of a second blow device, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired number of blow device such as first and second blow device since applicant has not disclosed that the additional blow device is critical, patentably distinguishing features and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the configuration of at least one blow device as shown in the prior art reference (see Figs. 14-16 of the APA).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the claim objection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/921,982 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art for their teaching of mounting apparatus or the like.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Trinh 7/22/04

Patent Examiner Group 3729

mt